stars

## THE COOL GIANT STARS IN SOLAR VICINITY

N.S. Komarov, L.V. Korotina, T.V. Shevchuk Astronomical Observatory, Odessa State University, Odessa 270014 Ukraine E-mail: astro@paco.odessa.ua

ABSTRACT. The data of absolute spectrophotometry were used for determination of the characteristics for 700 standards' stars in spectral region from G0 to M4. The 170 stars were selected for calibration of new photometric indicies obtained by using a multicolour photometric catalogue in Geneva observatory and Gildenkern's systems. Effective tenperatures, gravities and metallicities have been determinated for 1000 stars of Geneva observatory and for 600 stars of Gildenkern's systems in the region of spectral types from G0 to K5.

Key words: Cool giant stars, evolution of

The determination of abundances in the atmospheres of cool stars is associated with the problems:

- of determining their characteristics, i.e. effective temperatures  $T_{eff}$ , on gravities on the surface (g), metallicities ([Fe/H]), microturbulent velocities  $\xi_t$
- of calculation of model atmospheres adequate to the structure of atmospheres of real stars - of determining physico-chemical radiation and collision parameters of atoms and mole-

1985b, Korotina et al. 1992). In Tabl. 1-4 are given fundamental characte-

cules (Ridgway et al. 1980, Komarov et al.

ristics for giant stars of the field in the solar vicinity of Galaxy disk (stars of open clusters and moving groups were exluded). It should be noted that  $T_{eff}$  of a star for the same spectral type (at the same spectral index) with small metallicity increases with growth metallicity. This is caused by a large blanketing or blocking effect. This is together with the account of histograms of stellar distribution from spectral types may affect the behaviour of  $T_{eff}$  depending on a spectral type, and namely, an

ABSTRACT. The data of absolute spec- Table 1. The dependence  $T_{eff}$  from [Fe/H].

Table 1. The dependence $T_{eff}$ nom [Fe/H].					
$\overline{\text{Sp}}$	$[{\rm Fe/H}] < -0.35$	[Fe/H]=0	$[{\rm Fe}/{\rm H}] > 0.1$		
$\overline{G0}$	5230	5440			
G1	5200	5400	_		
G2	5180	5320	_		
G3	5140	5280	<del>-</del>		
G4	5110	5260	_		
G5	5000	5220	<del>-</del>		
G6	4860	5140	_		
G7	4860	5060	_		
G8	4870	5060	5160		
G9	4820	5030	_		
K0	4770	4950	_		
K1	4670	4820	4950		
K2	4420	4690	4760		
K3	4260	4450	4660		
K4	3970	4160	4410		
K5	3800	4010	4170		
M0	_	3880	_		
M1	3720	3800	_		
M2	3650	3720	_		
M3	3550	3650	_		
M4	3440	3500	_		
M5	3390	3440	_		
M6	3300	3350	_		

insignificant variation in  $T_{eff}$  in the K6 III – M0 III spectral range results from considerable variation in intensities of molecular bands and other spectral features. Therefore, to attain a smooth run of the  $T_{eff}$  the from K6 III to M0 III spectral interval was excluded. Moreover, in the spectral range from K0 III to M0 III variations in mechanisms of energy transfer from inner layers outwards should be expected since in this spectral range there occurs a separation of stars according to the structure of outer envelopes. It is shown that luminosities of giant of stars field of  $Galaxy\ disk$  increase with growth metallisities.

When of fundamental characteristics  $T_{eff}$ ,  $\log g$ , [Fe/H] and  $M_{bol}$  of giant stars was became available, the values of luminosities (L), radii  $\Re$  and masses ( $\mathcal{M}$ ) were determined for 1370 cool giant–stars and their dependencies on [Fe/H] by the coident formulae:

$$M_{bol}^{\star} - M_{bol}^{\odot} = -2.5 \log(L^{\star}/L^{\odot})$$

$$1 + (I \star I \star I) + 21 + (\mathfrak{A} \star I) + (I \star I)$$

$$\log(L^{\star}/L^{\odot}) = 2\log(\Re^{\star}/\Re^{\odot}) + 4\log(T_{eff}^{\star}/T_{eff}^{\odot})$$
$$\log(g^{\star}/g^{\odot}) = \log(\mathcal{M}^{\star}/\mathcal{M}^{\odot}) - 2\log(\Re^{\star}/\Re^{\odot})$$

With that, for the Sun the following values were accepted:

 ${\rm M}_{bol}^{\odot}=4.72, T_{eff}^{\odot}=5784{\rm K}, \log {\rm g}^{\odot}=4.44$ Luminosities (Tabl.2) of giant stars in the Ga-

lactic disk of spectral types from G5 to K5 are markedly different and within 19 to 235 solar luminosities.

Table 2. The dependence  $\log (L^*/L^{\odot})$  from from [Fe/H].

Sp	$[{ m Fe/H}] < -0.35$	[Fe/H]=0	$[{\rm Fe}/{\rm H}] > 0.1$
G5	1.28	1.34	1.33
G8	1.40	1.46	1.50
K0	1.53	1.59	1.63
K1	1.65	1.71	1.75
K2	1.81	1.87	1.91
К3	1.98	2.04	2.08
K4	2.17	2.23	2.27
<u>K5</u>	2.27	2.33	2.37

from 5 to 30 those of the Sun and are nearly independent on metallisities (that is log g nearly independent on metallisities too). Stars masses practically depend neither on the spectral type nor on chemical composition and amount to 0.7 - 1.3 solar masses (Tabl.4). We must underline it applies only to giant of star field in Galactic disk.

The radii (Tabl.3) investigated stars range

Cool giant stars of the Galactic disk with metallicity increase shift in the HR diagram towards higher temperatures and larges luminosities.

The most impressive result is that for cool giant field stars in the Galactic disk, their masses in the G5 – K5 range proved to be statistically less than the solar ones, and consequently, these have the age compared with that of a globular

clusters. Independently, this result was supported Edvardson et al. (1993). In addition, spectroscopic investigations of *visual* binary systems, one component of which is a cool giantstars, have shown that the mass of these stars is less or equal to that of the Sun (Scalo et al., 1978).

Table 3. The dependence log  $(\Re^*/\Re^{\odot})$  from from [Fe/H].

	L /		
Sp	$[{ m Fe/H}] < -0.35$	[Fe/H]=0	$[{\rm Fe}/{\rm H}] > 0.1$
G5	0.75	0.75	0.74
G8	0.84	0.83	0.84
K0	0.92	0.93	0.94
K1	0.96	1.00	0.98
K2	1.12	1.10	1.11
K3	1.24	1.23	1.21
K4	1.40	1.39	1.36
K5	1.49	1.47	1.46

Table 4. The dependence  $\log (\mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{M}^{\odot})$  from [Fe/H].

$\operatorname{Sp}$	$[{ m Fe/H}] < -0.35$	[Fe/H]=0	$[{ m Fe}/{ m H}] > 0.1$
$\overline{G5}$	-0.03	-0.04	-0.09
G8	-0.06	-0.08	-0.08
K0	-0.08	-0.08	-0.08
K1	-0.06	-0.06	-0.10
K2	0.00	-0.04	-0.03
K3	-0.01	-0.02	-0.07
K4	-0.06	-0.08	-0.14
K5	-0.07	-0.10	-0.13

## References

Edvardson B., Andersen J., Gustafsson B., Lambert D.L., Nisson F.E., Tomkin J.: 1993, Astron. Astrophys., 275, 101.

Korotina L.V., Dragunova A.V., Komarov N.S. :1989, *Astrofizika*, **21**, 539.

Korotina L.V., Komarov N.S.: 1992, *Astron.*Zhurnal 69 1188

Zhurnal, **69**, 1188. Komarov N.S., Korotina L.V., Shevchuk T.V.:

1996, Kinematika i fizika neb. tel, **26**, 3

Ridgway S.T., Joyce R.R., White N.M.,

Wing H.F.: 1980, Astrophys.J., **235**, 126.

Scalo J.M., Dominy J., Pumphrey W.A.: 1978, *Astrophys.J.*, **221**, 616.

Sweigart A.V., Greggio L., Renzini A.: 1989, Astrophys.J. Suppl., 69, 911.